

Homework 5

MTH 869 Algebraic Topology

Joshua Ruiter

February 12, 2018

Lemma 0.1 (for Exercise 1.3.5, part one). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous bijection, and suppose that X is compact and Y is Hausdorff. Then f has a continuous inverse. (As a consequence, f is a homeomorphism.)*

Proof. Let $g = f^{-1}$. Suppose $V \subset X$ is closed. Then V is compact since X is compact. Then $f(V)$ is compact since f is continuous. Since Y is Hausdorff, $f(V)$ is closed. Then since $f(V) = g^{-1}(V)$, $g^{-1}(V)$ is closed. Hence the preimage of any closed set under g is closed, so g is continuous. \square

Proposition 0.2 (Exercise 1.3.5, part one). *Let X be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the four sides of the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ together with the segments of the vertical lines $x = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$ inside the square. For every covering space $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ there is some neighborhood of the left edge of X that lifts homeomorphically to \tilde{X} .*

Proof. Let S be the set of $y \in [0, 1]$ such that there exists a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times [0, y]$ in X that lifts homeomorphically to \tilde{X} . Note that S is not empty, because the point $(0, 0)$ has an evenly covered neighborhood, which has a homeomorphic lift. Thus we can define $y_0 = \sup S$. If we can show that $1 \in S$, then by definition of S there is an open neighborhood of the left edge $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$ that has a homeomorphic lift.

Suppose that $y_0 < 1$, and let U be an open neighborhood of $\{0\} \times [0, y_0]$ with homeomorphic lift $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$, and let \tilde{y}_0 be the unique preimage of $(0, y_0)$ in \tilde{U} . Let V be an evenly covered neighborhood of $(0, y_0)$, so $p^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \tilde{V}_i$ where each \tilde{V}_i maps homeomorphically to V under p . Choose the unique i so that $\tilde{y}_0 \in \tilde{V}_i$. We claim that there is a homeomorphic lift of a neighborhood of $[0, y]$ where $y > y_0$, where this lift is contained in $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i$.

Since $p(\tilde{U}) = U$ and $p(\tilde{V}_i) = V$, it is immediate that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i} : \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow U \cup V$ is surjective. Of course, any restriction of p is continuous. If we can show that this restriction of p is injective, then it is a homeomorphism by the lemma above. To show that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective, we need to show that for $a, b \in \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i$ we have the implication

$$p(a) = p(b) \implies a = b$$

Since $p|_{\tilde{U}}$ and $p|_{\tilde{V}_i}$ are injective, if a, b are both in \tilde{U} or both in \tilde{V}_i , the above implication holds. So we just need to consider the case where $a \in \tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{V}_i$ and $b \in \tilde{V}_i \setminus \tilde{U}$.

First, suppose that $p(a) = p(b) = (0, y)$, that is, the image is in the left edge. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ be the straight line path from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, y)$. Then there exist path lifts $\tilde{\gamma}_1 : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_1(0) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(0) = p|_{\tilde{U}}^{-1}(0, 0)$, and $\tilde{\gamma}_1(1) = a$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2(1) = b$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ & \downarrow p & \\ I & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ & \downarrow p & \\ I & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X \end{array}$$

Then by the uniqueness of path lifting (Proposition 1.30 in Hatcher), this implies that $a = b$. Thus $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective on the left edge.

Now we show that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective on the rest of $\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i$ by contradiction. For $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} U_\epsilon &= U \cap ([0, \epsilon] \times [0, 1]) & \tilde{U}_\epsilon &= p|_{\tilde{U}}^{-1}(U_\epsilon) \\ V_\epsilon &= V \cap ([0, \epsilon] \times [0, 1]) & \tilde{V}_{i,\epsilon} &= p|_{\tilde{V}_i}^{-1}(V_\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

If for any $\epsilon > 0$, p is injective on $U_\epsilon \cup V_\epsilon$, then $p|_{\tilde{U}_\epsilon \cup \tilde{V}_{i,\epsilon}}$ is injective and hence $\tilde{U}_\epsilon \cup \tilde{V}_{i,\epsilon}$ is a homeomorphic lift of $U_\epsilon \cup V_\epsilon$ and we're done. So suppose otherwise. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a_n \in \tilde{U}_\epsilon \setminus \tilde{V}_{i,\frac{1}{n}}$ and $b_n \in \tilde{V}_{i,\frac{1}{n}} \setminus \tilde{U}_\epsilon$ so that $p(a_n) = p(b_n)$ and $a_n \neq b_n$. Then the sequences a_n and b_n must have convergent subsequences a_{n_k} and b_{n_k} with limits

$$a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} \quad b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{n_k}$$

Since $p(a_n)$ approaches the left edge, $p(a)$ and $p(b)$ are on the left edge. By continuity of p , we have $p(a) = p(b)$. However, $a_n \in \tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{V}_i$ implies that $a \in U \setminus V$. Likewise, $b_n \in \tilde{V}_i \setminus \tilde{U}$ implies that $b \in V \setminus U$. Thus we have a, b so that $p(a) = p(b)$ on the left edge but $a \neq b$. Since we already showed that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective on the left edge, this implies is a contradiction, so we conclude that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective.

Now that we have shown that $p|_{\tilde{U} \cup \tilde{V}_i}$ is injective, it is a homeomorphism onto $U \cup V$. Since V is an open neighborhood of $(0, y_0)$ and $y_0 < 1$, V contains some point $(0, y)$ with $y > y_0$. Thus $U \cup V$ is a neighborhood of $[0, y]$ that lifts homeomorphically to \tilde{X} . This contradicts the fact that y_0 is the supremum of S , so we conclude that $\sup S$ cannot be less than one. Since S is a subset of $[0, 1]$, this means that $\sup S = 1$. Thus by definition of S , there is an open neighborhood of the left edge that lifts homeomorphically to \tilde{X} . \square

Proposition 0.3 (Exercise 1.3.5, part two). *Let X be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the four sides of the square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ together with the segments of the vertical lines $x = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$ inside the square. Then X has no simply connected covering space.*

Proof. Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map. Using part one, let A be an open neighborhood of the left edge with a homeomorphic lift $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{X}$.

We claim that there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $[0, \frac{1}{K}] \times [0, 1] \subset A$. Suppose there is no such K . Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $(\frac{1}{k}, y_k) \in X \setminus A$. Since this sequence is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence, which must converge to a point on the left edge $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$. But A is open, so $X \setminus A$ is closed, so $X \setminus A$ contains its limit points. This contradicts the fact that A covers the left edge. Thus the claimed K exists. This implies that A contains the nontrivial rectangular loop

$$\gamma = \left(\{0\} \times [0, 1] \right) \cup \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{K+1} \right\} \times [0, 1] \right) \cup \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{K+1} \right] \times \{0\} \right) \cup \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{K+1} \right] \times \{1\} \right)$$

which lifts to a nontrivial loop $\tilde{\gamma}$ in \tilde{A} . Then thinking of $\tilde{\gamma}$ as a loop in the larger space \tilde{X} , we see that $p_*[\tilde{\gamma}] = [\tilde{\gamma} \circ p] = [\gamma]$, so the image of $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ is nontrivial in $\pi_1(X)$. This implies that $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ cannot be trivial, so \tilde{X} is not simply connected. \square

Proposition 0.4 (Exercise 1.3.7). *Let Y be the quasi-circle consisting of the closed subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 given by a piece of the graph of $y = \sin(1/x)$ and the segment $[-1, 1]$ with an arc connecting the two pieces. Induce a map $f : Y \rightarrow S^1$ by collapsing the segment $[-1, 1]$. Then f does not lift to the covering space $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$, even though $\pi_1(Y) = 0$. Consequently, local path-connectedness of Y is a necessary hypothesis for the lifting criterion.*

Proof. We think of Y as the union of three pieces $Y = A \cup B \cup C$, where A is the vertical segment $[-1, 1]$, B is connecting arc, and C is the piece of the graph of $\sin(1/x)$. We have a quotient map $q : Y \rightarrow Y/A$ by collapsing A to a point, which we denote by a . Then we have a map $g : Y/A \rightarrow S^1$ by leaving a and B fixed, and projecting down the graph of $\sin(1/x)$ to the x -axis. By doing a rotation if necessary, we can assume that $g(a) = 1$ (we are thinking of S^1 as the unit circle in \mathbb{C}). Then the map f is the composition $f = g \circ q$.

Let $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ be the usual covering map $t \mapsto e^{it}$, and suppose there is a lift $\tilde{f} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{R} \\ & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & S^1 \end{array}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} U_\epsilon &= \{y \in Y : \text{dist}(y, A) > \epsilon\} \\ V_\epsilon &= \{y \in Y : \text{dist}(y, A) < \epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

That is, U_ϵ is an open subset of Y covering almost all of Y , except for avoiding a ϵ -neighborhood of A , and V is an ϵ -neighborhood of A . Note that $U_\epsilon \cup V_{2\epsilon} = Y$. Then $f(U_\epsilon)$ covers almost all of S^1 , and $f(V_{2\epsilon})$ is a small neighborhood of 1.

$$\begin{aligned} p\tilde{f}(U_\epsilon) &= f(U_\epsilon) = \{x \in S^1 : \text{dist}(x, 1) > \epsilon\} \\ p\tilde{f}(V_{2\epsilon}) &= f(V_{2\epsilon}) = \{x \in S^1 : \text{dist}(x, 1) < 2\epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

This says that $\tilde{f}(U_\epsilon)$ is an interval of length just a bit smaller than 2π that avoids $f(a) = 2\pi k$, and $\tilde{f}(V_\epsilon)$ is a small interval containing $f(a) = 2\pi k$. Since U_ϵ and $V_{2\epsilon}$ overlap, the images overlap. Thus the union

$$\tilde{f}(Y) = \tilde{f}(U_\epsilon) \cup \tilde{f}(V_{2\epsilon})$$

is a single open interval of length greater than 2π . So there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{f}(Y)$ such that $|\alpha - \beta| = 2\pi$. Since $\tilde{f}(U_\epsilon)$ and $\tilde{f}(V_{2\epsilon})$ are both intervals of length less than 2π , α and β can't be in the same one. WLOG assume $\alpha \in \tilde{f}(U_\epsilon) \setminus \tilde{f}(V_{2\epsilon})$ and $\beta \in \tilde{f}(V_{2\epsilon}) \setminus \tilde{f}(U_\epsilon)$. Then there exist $y_\alpha \in U_\epsilon \setminus V_{2\epsilon}$ and $y_\beta \in V_{2\epsilon} \setminus U_\epsilon$ with $\tilde{f}(y_\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\tilde{f}(y_\beta) = \beta$. Then

$$|\alpha - \beta| = 2\pi \implies p(\alpha) = p(\beta) \implies p\tilde{f}(y_\alpha) = p\tilde{f}(y_\beta) \implies f(y_\alpha) = f(y_\beta)$$

By construction of U_ϵ , $y_\alpha \in U_\epsilon$ implies that y_α is not in A . Since f is injective except for values in A , this implies that $y_\alpha = y_\beta$, which contradicts the fact that y_α and y_β lie in disjoint neighborhoods of Y . Therefore, no lift \tilde{f} exists. \square

Proposition 0.5 (Exercise 1.3.8). *Let $p_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be simply connected covering spaces of the path connected, locally path connected spaces X and Y respectively. If $X \simeq Y$, then $\tilde{X} \simeq \tilde{Y}$.*

Proof. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ so that $fg \simeq \text{Id}_Y$ and $gf \simeq \text{Id}_X$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow p_X & & \downarrow p_Y \\ X & \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Since \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are simply connected, the induced maps $(p_X)_*$ and $(p_Y)_*$ are trivial. Then by Proposition 1.33, there exist lifts $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{g} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ so that the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ \tilde{g} \nearrow & \downarrow p_X & \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{gp_Y} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ \tilde{f} \nearrow & \downarrow p_Y & \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{fp_X} & Y \end{array}$$

Then notice that

$$\begin{aligned} p_X \tilde{g} \tilde{f} &= gp_Y \tilde{f} = gfp_X \\ p_Y \tilde{f} \tilde{g} &= fp_X \tilde{g} = fgp_Y \end{aligned}$$

that is, the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{f} \nearrow & \downarrow p_X & \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{gfp_X} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ \tilde{f}\tilde{g} \nearrow & \downarrow p_Y & \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{fgp_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

We have the obvious lifts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ \text{Id}_{\tilde{X}} \nearrow & \downarrow p_X & \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ \text{Id}_{\tilde{Y}} \nearrow & \downarrow p_Y & \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} gf &\simeq \text{Id}_X \implies gfp_X \simeq p_X \\ fg &\simeq \text{Id}_Y \implies fgp_Y \simeq p_Y \end{aligned}$$

So we have homotopies $h_t : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $H_t : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ with $h_0 = p_X, h_1 = gfp_X$ and $H_0 = p_Y, H_1 = fgp_Y$. We have a lift $\tilde{h}_0 = \text{Id}_{\tilde{X}}$ of h_0 and a lift \tilde{H}_0 of H_0 , so by Proposition 1.30 we have homotopy lifts \tilde{h}_t and \tilde{H}_t making the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ \tilde{h}_t \nearrow & \downarrow p_X & \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{h_t} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{Y} & \\ \tilde{H}_t \nearrow & \downarrow p_Y & \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{H_t} & Y \end{array}$$

That is, $p_X \tilde{h}_1 = h_1 = gfp_X$ and $p_Y \tilde{H}_1 = H_1 = fgp_Y$. So we have two lifts of gfp_X , namely \tilde{h}_1 and $\tilde{g}f$. Likewise, we have two lifts of fgp_Y , which are \tilde{H}_1 and $\tilde{f}g$. By uniqueness of the homotopy lifts, this implies that $\tilde{h}_1 = \tilde{g}f$ and $\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{f}g$. Hence $\tilde{g}f \simeq \text{Id}_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\tilde{f}g \simeq \text{Id}_{\tilde{Y}}$, so $\tilde{X} \simeq \tilde{Y}$ via the homotopy equivalence \tilde{f} . \square

Lemma 0.6 (for Exercise (b)). *There is no injective group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\phi : \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is an injective group homomorphism. Let $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ be generated by x, y . Then since $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is abelian,

$$\phi(yx) = \phi(y)\phi(x) = \phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$$

Because ϕ is injective, this implies $xy = yx$, which is not true in $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Proposition 0.7 (Exercises (a),(b),(c)). *Consider the following four spaces: $S^1 \times S^1, S^2, S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$ where x, y are two distinct points in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then*

- a) $S^1 \times S^1$ is not a covering space of any of the other three.
- b) $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$ is not a covering space of any of the other three.
- c) S^2 cannot be a covering space of any of the other three.

Proof. First note that $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_1(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_1(S^2) \cong 0$, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}) \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$. Also, the universal cover of $S^1 \times S^1$ is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, the universal cover of S^2 is itself, and the universal cover of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

(a) A covering map p from $S^1 \times S^1$ to S^2 or $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ would induce an injective homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ or $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ respectively, neither of which is possible. A covering map from $S^1 \times S^1$ to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x, y\}$ would induce an injective group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$. If such a homomorphism existed, then $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ would have a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. However, every subgroup of a free group is free, so this is a contradiction.

(b) A covering map from $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$ would induce an injective homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$, but this group does not inject into any of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, 0 , or \mathbb{Z} , so $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$ cannot be a covering space of any of the other three.

(c) If S^2 is a covering space of any of the other three, then since S^2 is simply connected it is isomorphic as a cover to the universal cover. Since S^2 is not homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , the universal cover for $S^1 \times S^1$ and $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, S^2 is not a cover of either of the those. S^2 cannot be a covering space of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$ because the image of a continuous map from S^2 must be compact, so there is no continuous surjective map $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x, y\}$. \square